NLP
Natural Language Processing

Dialogue
“Who’s on first”

Abbott  You know, strange as it may seem, they give ball players nowadays very peculiar names...Now, on the Cooperstown team we have Who's on first, What's on second, I Don't Know is on third-
Costello  That's what I want to find out. I want you to tell me the names of the fellows on the Cooperstown team.
Abbott  I'm telling you. Who's on first, What's on second, I Don't Know is on third.
Costello  You know the fellows' names?  Yes.
Abbott  Yes.
Costello  Well, then, who's playin' first?  I mean the fellow's name on first base.
Abbott  Who.
Costello  The fellow's name on first base for Cooperstown.  Who.
Abbott  The guy on first base.  Who is on first base.
Costello  Well, what are you asking me for?  I'm not asking you--I'm telling you. Who is on first.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kTcRRaXV-fg
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Who's_on_First%3F – credit attributed to Michael J. Musto and/or Irving Gordon
Natural Language Dialogue Example

*System:* Hello there, please tell me about the first leg of your trip. For example you can say, I want to fly from Los Angeles to Chicago leaving next Tuesday.
*User:* I want to fly from Beijing to Los Angeles.
*System:* Flying from Beijing China to Los Angeles International Airport on what date?
*User:* Tomorrow.
*System:* What time would you like to leave on Saturday May fifth?
*User:* Anytime please.
*System:* I see a couple of flights. (the system goes on to give details about each flight).
*User:* I would like the first one.
*System:* ...
*User:* ...
What makes dialogue different

- Turn-taking
- Default turn-taking rule
  - Only take a turn at a relevant place (e.g., a pause, after a question)
- Barge-in
- Prosody
  - intonation, emphasis
Sidebar: Emphasis Example

• Try saying this sentence seven times, each time with an emphasis on a different word:
  – I never said she stole my money.
Conversational Implicature

• Example:
  – How can I help you?
  – I am looking for a Thai restaurant.

• Implicature
  – Meaningful inferences that the listener can make
Grice’s Maxims

• Maxim of quantity
  – make your contribution informative
  – but not more than needed

• Maxim of quality
  – do not say what you believe is false
  – do not say that for which you lack evidence

• Maxim of relevance

• Maxim of manner
  – avoid ambiguity
  – avoid obscurity
  – be brief
  – be orderly
Speech Acts

• Assertives
  – suggesting, putting forward, swearing, boasting, concluding

• Directives
  – asking, ordering, requesting, inviting, advising, begging

• Commissives
  – promising, planning, vowing, betting, opposing

• Expressives
  – thanking, apologizing, welcoming, deploring

• Declarations
  – I resign, you’re fired.

Example from Jurafsky and Martin
Notes

• Dialogue Act Recognition
• Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes (POMDP)
• Reinforcement Learning
NACLO: Grice’s Grifter Gadgets

- Author Jordan Boyd–Graber
You are employed by a company that makes Grice’s Grifter Gader (GGG), a small flying robot that helps you cheat at card games. The robot flies above your opponent’s shoulder, looks at their cards, and then telepathically sends a message into your brain. (It’s not the most ethical job in the world, but you took it because, hey, you get to work with flying telepathic robots — nobody could say no to that.)

These gadgets have to abide by the following maxims:

- **Relevance (R)** What GGG says should be relevant to the player’s needs (winning at the card game); it should give the minimum number of facts necessary for the player to make the best play possible (telepathic communication isn’t cheap!)
- **Manner (M)** In addition to giving the minimum number of facts necessary, those facts should be expressed as simply as possible
- **Quantity (N)** GGG should give all needed information, i.e. it should not leave anything out
- **Quality (L)** GGG shouldn’t say things that are wrong (otherwise, what’s the point of cheating)

Linguists believe that humans follow similar rules¹. For example, when you ask a friend what the weather is like, he would violate the maxim of quantity if he recited the hourly barometric pressure over the previous three days. Because the GGG communicates through telepathic natural language, it should also obey these maxims.

Here’s the game GGG is trying to help a player win. Before each round, the dealer shuffles a deck with forty cards, where each card has one of four suits (club ♣, heart ♥, spade ♠, diamond ♦) and a number from 1 to 10. The player and her opponent each get three cards. The player picks one of her three cards and gives it to the opponent. The opponent gets points equal to the product of the two highest numbers in the same suit (if there are no cards of the same suit, the hand is worth one point). For example:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opponent's Hand</th>
<th>Player Card</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4♥ 3♥ 2♥</td>
<td>1♠</td>
<td>4 x 3 = 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4♣ 5♥ 9♦</td>
<td>6♦</td>
<td>6 x 4 = 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4♣ 5♥ 9♦</td>
<td>10♠</td>
<td>1 (no cards of the same suit)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GGG can't see the player's cards (it hovers above the opponent's shoulder), so it needs to give the player enough information for her to play the best card no matter what cards she has. For example, if the GGG sees that the opponent has a 4♣ 3♥ 2♠, it can't just say "play a heart", because the player might not have that in her hand.

Language is ambiguous. In addition to the ambiguity of syntax and semantics, there are often social conventions that both speakers and listeners assume in a conversation. This was described by the linguist H. Paul Grice in the early 1960s. He proposed that speakers and listeners assume the maxims described in this problem. Because of these maxims, conversation participants are able to make Gricean implicatures. These allow us to extrapolate from incomplete information. For example, if A asks B 'Where's Lisa?' and B replies 'Lisa got the flu,' the maxim of relevance allows A to assume that Lisa is staying at home because she is sick, even though this was never explicitly stated. Identifying and constructing these logical leaps in this restricted environment is the goal of this problem.
Q1. What’s wrong with my GGG?
You have to debug some defective units. Given an opponent’s hand and the output of a GGG, give the maxims violated (use R, N, L, or M). Each example will violate one maxim.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opponent’s Hand</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Maxim Violated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4♥ 3♠ 2♦</td>
<td>He has a four of hearts, a three of spades, and a two of clubs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4♥ 3♥ 2♥</td>
<td>He has a four of hearts, a three of hearts, and a two of hearts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4♥ 3♦ 2♠</td>
<td>He has hearts, diamonds, and spades.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6♥ 7♠ 3♣</td>
<td>He has a six of hearts, a seven of spades, a three of diamonds, and the sky is blue.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2♠ 1♠ 3♣</td>
<td>He has an even prime number of spades, and the smallest odd prime number of clubs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q2. Correcting the GGG
Given an opponent’s hand, a maxim violated, and the output of a GGG, replace the underlined portion of the output with text that would fix the violation of the maxim (without violating any others!).

4♥ 2♦ 3♥ He has a four of hearts, a two of diamonds, and a three of hearts.

8♠ 2♥ 10♣ He has a ten of clubs and an eight of spades.

8♠ 2♥ 10♣ He has an eight of diamonds and a two of hearts.

Relevance

Quality

Quantity

Q3. Playing the Game
Given the following statements by a (fully functional) GGG, give a configuration of the opponent’s cards that is consistent with the statement and all the maxims (if there’s more than one possible configuration, just give one).

A. Don’t play a heart.

B. He has no hearts.

C. He has clubs and hearts.

D. He has a three of clubs and a two of spades.
Solution

Q1. Quality
Relevance
Quantity
Relevance
Manner

Q2. NOTHING
Eight of diamonds
A ten of spades and a two of hearts

Q3. 1♥ 3♥ 8♥ — anything with all hearts
3♣ 3♠ 3♦ — anything with identical values in different suits
Any hand were max(♣) = max(♥), and any other club or heart
3♣ 2♠ and one of: 1♠/2♠/1♣